

(SRI VEERENDRA PATIL)

This only shows that a decision has already been taken and there is no question of taking a decision.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA.—What about Malpe port? Will it be converted into a near major port if not a major port?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is outside the scope of this question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜೆ. ದೊಡ್ಡ ಮೇಟ.—ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಟ್ಯಾಟಿಕಾರ್ಡ್-ಈ ೨ ಬಂದರುಗಳಿಗೂ ಪೇರಿ ಹತ್ತು ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಎಷ್ಟು ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಪ್ರೀಕಪ್ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಬಹುಶಃ ಎರಡೂ ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು 50-50 ಬರ್ಚ್ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ದೇವಯ್ಯ.—ಒಟ್ಟು 10 ಕೋಟಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಟ್ಯಾಟಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಗೆ ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅರಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri K. DEVAYYA.—It has been said that Rs. 12 lakhs has been set apart for Mangalore port. What is the amount set apart for Tuticorin port?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Probably a like amount.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲಸಾಲೆನ್.—ಈಗ ಟ್ಯಾಟಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಮತ್ತು ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಬಂದರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಾಗ ಟ್ಯಾಟಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಬಂದರಿನ ಕೆಲಸವಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಬಂದರಿನ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳುವರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಅದರ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲೇ ಸಮ ಸಮವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗುವುದೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಎರಡೂ ಒಮ್ಮೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದೆಂದು ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಟ್ಯಾಟಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಕೆಲಸ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿದೆ ಯೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಳಿದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಬಂದರಿನ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ.

Officers who were deputed to Goa for service, large amounts of money when they were sent to Goa;

(b) the amount that was given to them and the purpose for which it was given;

(c) the Budget Head to which the money was debited;

(d) whether the Officers submitted the accounts and furnished vouchers for the money they spent;

(e) the amount that was actually spent by them;

(f) whether they had returned to the Government the remaining money?

4.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes. Reasonable amounts were advanced.

(b) The amounts of advances sanctioned were: Special Deputy Commissioner—upto Rs. 5,000; Four Superintendents of Police—Rs. 50,000 each. At the time of Goa action, large batches of armed forces and other officials had to be moved and posted in different places. Sufficient funds in the form of advances were placed at the disposal of the Officers concerned, to meet the expenditure on accommodation, feeding, transport, etc.

(c) “57-Misc. K. Miscellaneous and unforeseen charges 1 Unforeseen expenditure in connection with the maintenance of Law and Order.”

(d), (e) and (f) : Details of expenditure incurred are not available with Government; but the Audit will ensure that the amounts spent are properly accounted for and the balance has been credited back to Government.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Supplementary to (d), (e) and (f) : When will the accounts be made available to Government? Was it not the duty of the officers concerned to submit the accounts in time? Have the Government asked the officers to submit the accounts at the earliest time.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—These things, as already answered, are to be done by the Audit Department. They have to submit them to the Audit Department and they will have in turn to submit it to Government.

Amount placed at the disposal of Mysore Officers who were deputed to Goa for service.

\*Q.—429. Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR (Belgaum City).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Government placed at the disposal of some of the Mysore

**Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.**—Is it not necessary that the accounts should be submitted in time?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I presume they have been submitted.

**Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.**—Have the Government ascertained that the amounts were spent for the purpose for which they were given?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—They have been used only for the purpose for which they were meant.

**Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.**—Do the rules of the Department permit to keep such large amounts in the possession of the officers?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—It was an extraordinary occasion when more monies had to be spent and they have been spent.

**Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.**—May I know whether the sum of Rs. 25,000 which was given as donation to the Konkani Conference was given with the permission of Government?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I am not aware of any fund being given to the Konkani Conference.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—On what dates were these amounts given and when were they spent?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I know that they were given immediately before the Goa Action, but I cannot give the details as to when they were spent.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಬ್ಳೇಗೌಡ.**—ಈ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ವಾಪಸು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾವು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬಂತು, ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದು ಲಾ. ಅಂಡ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರಿಕ್ವೆಸ್ಟ್ ಬಿಡಿಸಿ, ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈಚೆಗೆ ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಆಡ್ವಾನ್ಸ್ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಸೂಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದೆ; ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಉರುಫ್ ವಾಲಿಡನ್ ಪ್ಪ.**—ಶಂಕರಪ್ಪ.—ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಕೆಲವು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದವರನ್ನು ಒತ್ತಾಯಮಾಡಿ ಹೊರಕ್ಕೆ ಓಡಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ನಮಗೆ ಆ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—May I know whether this Government is bound to spend this amount on a territory which is not included within our jurisdiction?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—We hope to have it included in our jurisdiction.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Under what provision of law has Government spent

this amount? Was it brought before this House for sanction of this expenditure?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—There was no necessity to bring it before the House because it was an extraordinary situation and the situation had to be met and therefore it was spent. The heading under which it was spent has been given in the answer.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Was any supplementary demand for this amount placed before this House and its approval taken for it?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It has been spent out of the budgeted amount.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—This is a new service. When they spend any amount outside the jurisdiction of the State it is a new service and according to the Rules of Procedure and also the provisions of the Constitution the Government is bound to take the sanction of this House. Without that sanction how can they spend it?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I do not admit the proposition put forward by my friend.

**Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.**—Is it not a fact that in the national interest the situation warranted the expenditure of more funds and that the Government has not done so?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I have already answered it.

**Sri G. DUGGAPPA.**—Supplementary to (c): Is it not an old head and not a new one?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—That is what I said.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Is not this expenditure incurred on the officers of the Mysore Government and is it not budgeted and sanctioned? The only thing is that their services were utilised outside the State?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—Yes Sir, it is a question of law and order.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—Even though the amount was spent on the officers of this State, still their services have been rendered outside the State. So, is it not necessary to obtain the sanction of this House if the amount is to be spent outside the State?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—If the officers of this State are sent out and if they are paid, there is nothing wrong in it. It is a question of adjustment at a later stage. Their salaries cannot be withheld unless it was a condition of their deputation that their salaries would not be paid when they serve outside the State.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—They must have been paid extra allowance to go to Goa and maintain law and order.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Does it make any difference? If an officer is sent, he has to be paid. Whether we can recover it from the Central Government is a different point. It is not an unauthorised expenditure.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—These officers, even though they belong to this State, have been asked to serve outside the State by this Government and they have incurred certain expenditure. This particular act of incurring expenditure outside the State ought to have been brought before this House and sanction obtained because it becomes technically a new service. And if we are to be deprived of this particular right, that is a different matter. I do not mean to say that they have wasted the amount. My technical point is that technical sanction of this House ought to have been taken at least subsequently. Up till now, they have not obtained it and the hon. the Chief Minister said that he does not know whether the Central Government is going to re-imburse this Government. We ought to have first ascertained from the Central Government whether they are going to meet this expenditure.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Under extraordinary circumstances, even if Mr. Patil were to be in charge.....

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—If I had been in charge, the situation would have been different.

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—Possibly, my friend is very unhappy that we sent the police force. Either he does not want our force to be there or psychologically it is not pleasing to him that our force is in Goa. We have a number of officers outside the State and we pay them and it is the normal

duty of Government to see that its borders are protected. Besides, the liberation of Goa is an all-India question. I may tell my friend that we are entitled to spend that amount and we had to spend it.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—There is a slight fallacy in thinking that it is a new service. They are supposed to serve outside the State whenever they are asked to do so. They are paid extra whenever they go outside the State.

**Sri V. M. DEO.**—In the Gandhi murder case the services of some police officers were made use of by the Central Government in Poona and Delhi. In that connection, was such sanction of this House obtained?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I do not think it was asked for. I may, however, say that a vote on this item has been obtained on the Supplementary Estimates for 1961-62.

**Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.**—The services of these officers were lent at the instance of the Central Government. May I know whether this expenditure is recoverable from the Central Government?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I do not think it is recoverable.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—What is the procedure followed by the State Government whenever they depute their officers outside the State?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—The Government feels the necessity and acts.

**Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.**—Is it not a fact that the services of these officers who were sent to Goa were utilised in the larger interest of the country?

**Mr. SPEAKER.** An identical question has been put twice before and this is a repetition.

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—Absolutely so.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—How many persons including officers were sent to Goa; are they still there and is the recurring liability still on the State or have they been withdrawn?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Interpellations have been put on the floor of the House with regard to Mysore officers and it was then said that they have been withdrawn.

**Sri V. S. PATIL.**—So far as the expenditure of our officers outside the State is concerned, there is our Commercial Agent in England for making purchases. In his case, sanction is obtained here. It is specifically mentioned in the budget. So, why no sanction of this House was taken on this item?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I have already said that the Supplementary Estimates containing this item have already been sanctioned.

**Sri N. O. SAMAJI.**—[In Marathi.]

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—May I suggest to my friend Sir Samaji to put question in Kannada. He knows a bit of Kannada. It does not matter if it is broken Kannada. Putting questions in Marathi does not serve any purpose.

**Sri N. O. SAMAJI** (Marathi translated by Sri V. S. Patil).—(For what special purpose were these officers sent and for what purpose or reason the amount has been spent so far?)

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—It has been specifically answered.

**Sri N. O. SAMAJI.**—Has the Government received any accounts about that?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—That also has been answered.

### Construction of Hassan-Mangalore Railway line.

\*Q.—452. **Sri S. SIVAPPA** (Shrivaniabelagola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state —

(a) when the Hassan-Mangalore Railway line is expected to be taken up for construction;

(b) when it is expected to be completed?

**A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL** (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) After the completion of the final location survey.

(b) In three to four years after the work of construction is taken up.

**Sri M. C. BASAPPA.**—Sir, I rise on a point of order. Rule 36(2) (j) says that a question shall not relate to a

matter which is not the concern of the Government. Question 452 relates to the Hassan-Mangalore Railway line. Whether to take up a railway line or not is not the concern of the State Government. It is a matter which rests entirely with a Government other than the Mysore Government. Also, Rule 37 says that in matters which are or have been the subject of correspondence between the Government and the Government of India or the Government of any other State, no question shall be asked except as to matters of fact, and the answer shall be confined to a statement of fact. Here, we do not find any statement or matter relating to two Governments except that there is a guess that it will be taken up and finished after three or four years. Hence, the question cannot be asked on the floor of this House and it is outside the ambit of the Rules of Procedure governing this House.

9-30 A.M.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Now there does not seem to be unanimous support to the point raised by the Hon'ble Member because I find members on this side are keen on putting supplementaries.

**Sri M. C. BASAPPA.**—I want a ruling and not support from the House.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Now, the point has been raised, I wish he had looked into it deeper before raising the point. So far as the Central Government is concerned, Railways are their exclusive subject; budget is to be prepared by them, money is to be provided by them and money is to be spent by them. But that does not mean that the State Government has no interest at all to protect and should not take steps. I have heard many members urging in the course of the debate, requesting and even charging and criticising the Government for not taking a larger number of railway lines, that they are defaulting and not doing their duty. Was the Hon'ble Member not there to raise objection by saying that better purpose would be served if he felt that it was like that. I ask whether he was not present when so many members were pressing for inclusion for a larger number of lines, greater lengths, more